


REMARKS

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, claim 5 has been cancelled.

In the Decision on Appeal, due to Appellants statement that the claims stand or fall together, the Board limited its discussion to one claim, i.e., claim 5. However, claim 5, which has now been cancelled, only related to a decoder which included means for accumulating spatially corresponding coefficients of a plurality of pictures, and means for inverse transforming the accumulated coefficients into an accumulated plurality of pictures, and did not address the detecting of a watermark in a compressed video signal. As such, all of Appellants' arguments relating to the detecting of a watermark were not considered by the Board.

Applicants therefore request consideration of the arguments in the Appeal Brief as indicated in the RCE Transmittal filed herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

by 
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613
Attorney
Tel.: 914-333-9611

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

It is hereby certified that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First-class mail in an envelope addressed to:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

On Oct 28, 2004
By Burnett James

RECEIVED
2004 NOV 17 AM 9:55
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES